### BARSHAY, RIZZO & LOPEZ, PLLC

445 Broadhollow Road | Suite CL18

Melville, New York 11747

Tel: (631) 210-7272 Fax: (516) 706-5055 Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: BRL21148

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CENTRAL ISLIP DIVISION

Jovanni Rodriguez, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No:

Plaintiff,

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

D & A Services, LLC of IL and Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC

Defendants.

Plaintiff Jovanni Rodriguez, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states, and alleges against defendants D & A Services, LLC of IL and Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC as follows:

### INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action to recover damages for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (the "FDCPA").

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). The Court has supplemental jurisdiction of any state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

- 3. This court has jurisdiction over defendants D & A Services, LLC of IL and Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC because they regularly conduct and transact business in this state, and the conduct complained of herein occurred in this Judicial District.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Judicial District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the conduct complained of herein occurred in this Judicial District.

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff Jovanni Rodriguez ("Plaintiff") is a natural person who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.
  - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant D & A Services, LLC of IL is a company existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, with its principal place of business in Chicago, Illinois.
- 8. D & A Services, LLC of IL has transacted business within this state as is more fully set forth hereinafter in this Complaint.
- 9. D & A Services, LLC of IL regularly collects or attempts to collect debts asserted to be owed to others.
- 10. D & A Services, LLC of IL is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 11. The principal purpose of D & A Services, LLC of IL's businesses is the collection of such debts.
- 12. D & A Services, LLC of IL uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including telephones and the mails, in furtherance of its debt collection business.
- D & A Services, LLC of IL is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(6).
  - 14. Defendant Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC is a company existing

under the laws of the State of Illinois, with its principal place of business in Northbrook, Illinois.

- 15. Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC has transacted business within this state as is more fully set forth hereinafter in this Complaint.
- 16. Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC regularly collects or attempts to collect debts asserted to be owed to others.
- 17. Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 18. The principal purpose of Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC's businesses is the collection of such debts.
- 19. Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including telephones and the mails, in furtherance of its debt collection business.
- 20. Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 21. The acts of Defendants as described in this Complaint were performed by Defendants or on Defendants' behalf by their owners, officers, agents, and/or employees acting within the scope of their actual or apparent authority. As such, all references to Defendants in this Complaint shall mean Defendants or their owners, officers, agents, and/or employees.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 22. Defendants allege Plaintiff owes \$851.83 to Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC ("the alleged Debt").
  - 23. The alleged Debt does not arise from any business enterprise of Plaintiff.
  - 24. The alleged Debt is a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

- 25. At an exact time known only to Defendants, the alleged Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred by Bureaus Investment Group Portfolio No 15, LLC to D & A Services, LLC of IL for collection.
- 26. At the time the alleged Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to D & A Services, LLC of IL for collection, the alleged Debt was in default.
- 27. In their efforts to collect the alleged Debt, Defendants decided to contact Plaintiff by written correspondence.
- 28. Rather than preparing and mailing such written correspondence to Plaintiff on their own, Defendants decided to utilize a third-party vendor to perform such activities on their behalf.
- 29. As part of their utilization of the third-party vendor, Defendants conveyed information regarding the alleged Debts to the third-party vendors by electronic means.
- 30. The information conveyed by Defendants to the third-party vendor included Plaintiff's status as a debtor, the precise amount of the alleged Debt, the account number, the entity to which Plaintiff allegedly owed the debt, among other things.
- 31. Defendants' conveyance of the information regarding the alleged Debt to the third-party vendor is a "communication" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 32. The third-party vendor then populated some or all this information into a prewritten template, printed, and mailed the correspondence to Plaintiff at Defendants' direction.
- 33. That correspondence, dated October 8, 2020, was received and read by Plaintiff. (A true and accurate copy of that correspondence (the "Letter") is annexed hereto as "Exhibit 1.")
- 34. The Letter, which conveyed information about the alleged Debt, is a "communication" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

35. The Letter was the initial written communication Plaintiff received from Defendants concerning the alleged Debt.

# FIRST COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) and § 1692f

- 36. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 37. 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) provides that, subject to several exceptions not applicable here, "a debt collector may not communicate, in connection with the collection of any debt," with anyone other than the consumer "without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector."
- 38. The third-party vendor does not fall within any of the exceptions provided for in 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).
- 39. Plaintiff never consented to Defendant's communication with the third-party vendor concerning the alleged Debt.
- 40. Plaintiff never consented to Defendant's communication with the third-party vendor concerning Plaintiff's personal and/or confidential information.
- 41. Plaintiff never consented to Defendant's communication with anyone concerning the alleged Debt or concerning Plaintiff's personal and/or confidential information.
- 42. Upon information and belief, Defendant has utilized a third-party vendor for these purposes thousands of times.
- 43. Defendant utilizes a third-party vendor in this regard for the sole purpose of maximizing its profits.
- 44. Defendant utilizes a third-party vendor without regard to the propriety and privacy of the information which it discloses to such third-party.
  - 45. Defendant utilizes a third-party vendor with reckless disregard for the harm to

Plaintiff and other consumers that could result from Defendant's unauthorized disclosure of such private and sensitive information.

- 46. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) when it disclosed information about Plaintiff's alleged Debt to the third-party vendor.
- 47. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f provides that a debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 48. The unauthorized disclosure of a consumer's private and sensitive information is both unfair and unconscionable.
- 49. Defendant disclosed Plaintiff's private and sensitive information to the third-party vendor.
- 50. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) when it disclosed information about Plaintiff's alleged Debt to the third-party vendor.
- 51. For the foregoing reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692c(b) and 1692f and is liable to Plaintiff therefor.

# **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 52. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all consumers similarly situated in the County of Suffolk.
  - 53. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class of:
    - i. All consumers where Defendants sent information concerning the consumer's debt to a third-party vendor without obtaining the prior consent of the consumer, which disclosure was made on or after a date one year prior to the filing of this action to the present.
- 54. This action seeks a finding that Defendants' conduct violates the FDCPA and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
  - 55. The Class consists of more than thirty-five persons.

- 56. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this action affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 57. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendants have acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.
- 58. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised by this action will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendants' conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in actions brought under consumer protection laws.

### **JURY DEMAND**

59. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment be entered as follows:

- a. Certifying this action as a class action; and
- b. Appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel; and
- c. Finding Defendants' actions violate the FDCPA; and

- d. Awarding damages to Plaintiff and the Class pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- e. Awarding Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k, calculated on a "lodestar" basis; and
- f. Awarding the costs of this action to Plaintiff; and
- g. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest to Plaintiff; all together with
- h. Such other and further relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: April 22, 2021

# BARSHAY, RIZZO & LOPEZ, PLLC

By: s/ David M. Barshay David M. Barshay, Esquire 445 Broadhollow Road | Suite CL18 Melville, New York 11747 Tel: (631) 210-7272

Fax: (516) 706-5055 Our File No.: BRL21148 Attorneys for Plaintiff

